

Covenant of Mayors Peer Programme 2022 - selection criteria

Please see below the selection criteria for each peer learning programme; please note that you are not required to fulfil all selection criteria.

For all applicants for all programmes

Participation in previous peer learning programme(s)

Evidence that the peer learning exchange will help applicants achieve their energy and climate goals (e.g. clear proposed themes and objectives for the exchange; well identified outcomes and added value)

- 1. The exchange will help my city to implement project X, for which I need Y and Z
 - 2. The exchange will help my city to become leader on the X topic

Evidence that the applicants can dedicate appropriate resources and staff to the exchange (e.g. international profile, language skills)

Urban densification (cities: code 1 / towns: code 2 / rural: code 3)

Interest in working on rural-related topics

Twinng programme candidates

Evidence of one clear learning objective

Evidence of one clear good practice to showcase

Expert mission candidates (adaptation learner cities)

Adaptation as main focus of Expert Mission (PSF)

Evidence of ambition to work towards climate neutrality (target setting and details incl)

Ambition for inclusion of adaptation measures in long-term strategy

Evidence of clear learning objectives related to climate adaptation (challenge / gap identified)

Evidence of intention to involve relevant stakeholders in exchange

Intention to apply to an	environmental	award
--------------------------	---------------	-------

Expert mission candidates (adaptation expert cities)

Adaptation as main focus of Expert Mission (PSF)

Evidence of ambition to work towards climate neutrality (target setting and details incl)

Ambition for inclusion of adaptation measures in long-term strategy

Evidence of experience on climate adaptation plans / strategies (details)

Evidence of intention to involve relevant stakeholders in exchange

Evidence of having applied to or won an environmental award

Expert mission candidates (learner cities)

Evidence of ambition to work towards climate neutrality (target setting and details incl)

Stage of advancement in developing strategy for climate neutrality

Evidence of clear learning objectives related to climate neutrality (challenge / gap identified)

Evidence of intention to involve relevant stakeholders in exchange

Intention to apply to an environmental award

Expert mission candidates (expert cities)

Evidence of ambitious climate neutrality plans (target setting)

Stage of advancement in developing strategy for climate neutrality

Evidence of ambitious climate neutrality plans / strategies (details)

Evidence of intention to involve relevant stakeholders in exchange

Evidence of having applied to or won an environmental award

Expert mission candidates (learner coordinators and supporters)

Evidence of long-term strategy/vision

Evidence of challenges in the development / implementation of long-term strategy

Evidence of learning needs on long-term strategy development/implementation

Evidence of support to SECAPs development / implementation

Evidence of learning needs on SECAPs development / implementation

Evidence of commitment to involve politicians and other relevant stakeholders

Expert mission candidates (expert coordinators and supporters)

Evidence of expertise in planning/implementing ambitious long-term mitigation plans/strategies (e.g. CO2 reduction objectives, mitigation measures implemented and/or planned)

Evidence of having participated in previous peer learning programme as mentors

Evidence of clear good practices to showcase

Evidence in supporting regional/local authorities in deveopoment or implementation of long-term strategies

Evidence of expertise in SECAPs development / implementation

Peer review (learner cities)

Stage of advancement in SECAP development

Evidence of clear learning objectives for mitigation in relation to their SECAP development (Q#1.4)

Evidence of clear learning objectives for adaptation in relation to their SECAP development (Q#2.4)

Peer reviewers (reviewer cities)

Stage of advancement in SECAP implementation

Refered by JRC

Technical assistance (learner cities)

Evidence of one pre-defined project for which technical assistance is required

Evidence of clear details in relation to the project for which technical assistance is required

Technical assistance (expert cities)

Good practice in project development

Evidence of previous support for other cities (other P2P learning programmes)